

United States-Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/539,859	,859 03/30/2000		PAUL KUPRIONAS	FIS990239US1	8359	
29505	7590	01/30/2004		EXAMINER		
DELIO & I	PETERSO	ON, LLC	KENDALL, CHUCK O			
121 WHITN				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
MEW IIIIVI	NEW HAVEN, CT 06510			2122	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/539,859	KUPRIONAS, PAUL					
omec Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chuck O Kendall	2122					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Dec	ecember 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CI					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro-	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) t sentence of the specification or	on No ed in this National d. e) (to a provisional in an Application	l application)				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			a specific				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Attachment(s)

reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ . 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2122

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

- This Office Action is the response to the communication received on December 15, 2003 for Request for Continued Examination. Reconsideration of the instant application is requested by Applicant. All such supporting documentation has been placed of record in the file. Claims 1-20 are pending.
 - a. Previously claims 1-20, were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corbin USPN 5,138, 712 in view of McGuire et al.
 USPN 6,493,871.
 - In this action Applicant has amended all independent claims, hence necessitating new grounds of rejection.
 - c. Examiner has cited, Misra et al. USPN 6,189,146 in the rejection of claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 7 and 9 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corbin USPN 5,138,712, in view of McGuire et al. USPN 6,493,871 B1 and further in view of Misra et al. USPN 6,189,146 B1.

Art Unit: 2122

Regarding claims 1,9, 13, Corbin discloses a method (Col.23: lines 23 – Col.25: lines 43), apparatus (25:44 – 28: 28), storage device (5:15-20) for installing licensed software on an end user's computer comprising: providing an end user computer having a program storage device and a unique, computer identifier distinguishing the end user computer from other computers (2:60-65, see license token); providing a network computer having access to a program storage device containing software for license to end users and a program storage device containing a database listing computer identifiers licensed to run the software (3:1-5, see license library for listing); using the network computer to contact the end user computer and determine its end user computer identifier (3:1-5, see verify license information, for determining); verifying listing of the end user computer identifier in the network computer (3:1-5, see library for database, also 5:45-65, for Data table showing ids and end user elements); downloading the software from the network computer (6:40-45 for download see transmit); and installing the downloaded software on the end user computer program storage device (6:62-65, also see 7:5-10). Corbin doesn't explicitly disclose, software selected from the group consisting of programs to be executed by the end user's computer and database information. However, McGuire does disclose this feature (7:47-52, see update data and database). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine, Corbin with McGuire because, listing and selecting software from a central location for downloading as needed in a distributed architecture, makes loading updated and needed files more efficient. Corbin as modified by Mcguire doesn't disclose such that the downloaded and installed software in not in a form that may be transferred from the end user computer and installed on another. However, Misra does disclose this functionality in analogous art (Col.15: 27-35). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Corbin as modified with Mcguire with Misra because, it would prevent the software license from being copied from one client machine to another (Col.15: 27-30).

Regarding claims 2 &10, the method of claim 1, wherein the unique computer identifier is selected from the group consisting of a BIOS serial number and a network

Art Unit: 2122

adapter address (9:5-10, for address see host name and domain, for Bios serial number, see host ID).

Regarding claims 3 & 11, the method of claim 1, wherein on installation on the end user computer program storage device, the software comprises a program for execution on the end user computer (8:65-67, see item #56).

Regarding claims 4 & 12, the method of claim 1, wherein the network computer includes a plurality of different software and, prior to downloading the software, further including:

identifying to the end user computer all of the software on the network computer program storage device listed as licensed by the computer identifier of the end user computer(fig 2, see license server and library); and

sending from the end user computer to the network computer a selection of the software to be downloaded, and thereafter downloading and installing on the end user computer program storage device the selected software.

Regarding claim 5, the method of claim 4, wherein the identification to the end user computer of all the software on the network computer program storage device listed as licensed by the computer identifier of the end user computer is by an executable program on a program storage device of the network computer 6:40-45 for download see transmit, also see 6:62-65, also see 7:5-10).

Regarding claim 6, the method of claim 5, wherein prior to identifying to the end user computer the software on the network computer program storage device, further including: sending to the network computer, from the end user computer, a command to run the program identifying to the end user computer the software listed as licensed by the computer identifier of the end user computer (7:25-40, see binder, and contents of license servers).

Regards to claim 7, the method of claim 6, wherein the program identifying to the end user computer the software listed as licensed by the computer identifier is not installed on the end user computer (7:24-30, 35-40).

Regards to claim 14, see reasoning in claim 2.

Art Unit: 2122

Regards to claim 15, the method of claim 13 wherein the network computer includes a plurality of different software and, prior to downloading the software, further including:

identifying to the end user computer all of the software on the network computer program storage device listed as licensed by the computer identifier of the end user computer (7:24-30, 35-40); and sending from the end user computer to the network computer a selection of the software to be downloaded (7:27-33, see listing products available into binding file), and thereafter downloading and installing on the end user computer program storage device the selected software (7:40-43, also refer back to transmitting for downloading).

Regards to claim 16, the method of claim 15, wherein the identification to the end user computer all of the software on the network computer program storage device listed as licensed by the computer identifier of the end user computer is by an executable program on a program storage device of the network computer (7:24-40).

Regards to claim 17, the method of claim 16, wherein prior to identifying to the end user computer the software on the network computer program storage device, further including:

sending to the network computer, from the end user computer, a command to run the program identifying to the end user computer the software listed as licensed by the computer identifier of the end user computer (7:24-30, 35-40).

Regards to claim 18, which is the program storage device version of claim 1, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regards to claim 19, which is the product version of claim 1, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regards to claim 20, which is the article of manufacture version of claim 1, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Art Unit: 2122

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corbin USPN 5,138,712, in view of McGuire et al. USPN 6,493,871 B1, further in view of Misra et al. USPN 6,189,146 as applied in claim 1, and further in view of Bartholomew et al. USPN 6,202,209 B1.

Regarding claim 8, Corbin as modified by McGuire discloses all the claimed limitations as applied in claim 1. The combination of Corbin, McGuire, and Misra does not explicitly disclose end user computer program storage device contains a damaged version of the software to be downloaded, and wherein the installation of said software corrects the damaged software. However, Bartholomew does disclose this feature (9:10-15). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Corbin as modified by McGuire and Misra with Bartholomew to implement the instant claimed invention because, diagnosing the new application code and ascertaining the integrity of the code when downloaded ensures efficient downloading, (Bartholomew, 9: 5-15).

Correspondence Information

6. Any inquires concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck O. Kendall who may be reached via telephone at (703) 308-6608. The

Art Unit: 2122

examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached at (703) 305-4552.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

For facsimile (fax) send to central FAX number 703-872-9306 and 703-7467240 draft.

Chuck Kendall

Patent Examiner AU 2122

TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER